# Sound Refining Mudflat Area Focused Site Characterization Data Report

### Prepared for:

The Port of Tacoma
One Sitcum Plaza
Tacoma, WA 98424

## Prepared by:

Anchor Environmental, L.L.C. 1411 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 1210 Seattle, WA 98101

October 23, 2000

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	. 1
2	REPORT ORGANIZATION	
3	SEDIMENT SAMPLING EFFORT	
	3.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION 3.1.1 Surface Sediment Sample Collection. 3.1.2 Subsurface Sediment Sample Collection. 3.2 SAMPLE HANDLING. 3.3 FIELD QUALITY ASSURANCE SAMPLES. 3.3.1 Field Homogenization Duplicate Sample. 3.3.2 Field Blank Sample. 3.4 DEVIATIONS FROM THE SAMPLING PLAN.	3 5 6 7 7 7
4	CHEMICAL/PHYSICAL ANALYSES	8
	4.1 METHODS	8 8
5	REFERENCES	9

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A – Sediment Core Logs

Appendix B - Chemistry Data Validation Report

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Sound Refining Mudflat Area Sediment Sampling Locations

#### LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Surface and Subsurface Locations and Depths
- Table 2. Field Quality Assurance Sample Results
- Table 3. Chemical/Physical Analysis Methods and Target Detection Limits
- Table 4. Surface and Subsurface Chemistry Data

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Anchor Environmental, LLC (Anchor) conducted a limited environmental investigation for the Port of Tacoma (Port) of the existing mudflat/intertidal area west of the Sound Refining Site in Tacoma, Washington. The property is bounded by Sound Refining to the southeast, by 11<sup>th</sup> Street to the north, Airo Services and Marine Supply to the east, and Hylebos Waterway to the west. The exiting mudflat area (i.e., below elevation +11.8 ft MLLW shoreline, NOS datum) covers an area of approximately 19.6 acres. The focused mudflat characterization supplemented sediment data collected previously in this area by the Hylebos Cleanup Committee (HCC, 1999), and consisted of the collection of surface sediment and co-located subsurface sediment samples.

The sampling and analysis plan (SAP) for this project describes all procedures followed during the collection and analysis of sediment (Anchor and Port, 2000). All sample handling and chemical analyses were in accordance with the most recent Puget Sound Estuary Program (PSEP) protocols (PSEP 1986 as updated in 1989, 1991, 1995, and 1997). Marine Sampling Systems (MSS) provided the sampling platform, and along with Anchor staff, collected sediment cores during field activities. Anchor processed the sediment cores at the Rosa Environmental and Geotechnical Laboratory, LLC (REG) facility located in Seattle, Washington. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI), located in Seattle, Washington, conducted the chemical analyses for the sediment samples.

This document summarizes the procedures followed, identifies deviations from the SAP, and presents the sediment chemistry results.

# 2 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This report presents the results of the sampling and analysis program as described in the project SAP (Anchor and Port, 2000). This report is organized as follows:

- Section 1 Introduction
- Section 2 Report Organization
- Section 3 Sediment Sampling Effort
- Section 4 Chemical/Physical Analyses
- Section 5 –References

Figures and tables compiling and illustrating the data are presented at the end of this document.

Appendices provide supporting project documentation and are organized as follows:

- Appendix A -Sediment Core Logs
- Appendix B Chemistry Data Validation Report

## 3 SEDIMENT SAMPLING EFFORT

This section summarizes the sampling strategy (Anchor and Port, 2000) for the Sound Refining Mudflat Area Focused Site Characterization. Sediment core logs are provided in Appendix A. Deviations from the SAP are also discussed in this section.

#### 3.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION

Surface sediment samples were collected from eight locations within the Sound Refining intertidal area on July 14, 2000. Co-located subsurface sediments were collected from three of the surface sediment stations (Stations 4, 7, and 8) on July 13, 2000. Sampling locations are shown on Figure 1.

Anchor was responsible for field operations related to collecting, compositing, and transporting the sediment samples to the analytical laboratory for analysis. MSS assisted Anchor in the collection of the surface and subsurface sediment samples. MSS provided the sampling platform (R/V Nancy Anne and a small pontoon boat), the van Veen surface sediment sampler, the coring device (vibracorer), assisted in collecting the sediment surface grabs and cores, and operated the on-board differential global positioning system (DGPS). Station coordinates and mudline elevations for each surface and subsurface sample collected are provided in Table 1.

## 3.1.1 Surface Sediment Sample Collection

Surface sediment sampling was conducted using a small pontoon boat, which was operated under the direction of Bill Jaworski, owner of MSS, and coordinated by Anchor personnel. The pontoon boat is a 14-foot long, 10-foot wide platform with a small outboard motor, and a draft of 1-foot. The platform is equipped with a small hydraulic power supply, which operates the capstan and sampling gear. The platform is also equipped with an aluminum I-beam spud with foot assembly, which can be raised, lowered, or tilted.

The R/V Nancy Anne was used as the staging boat and was anchored just outside of the intertidal area. The R/V Nancy Anne is an aluminum, flat deck, 36-foot-long, 14-foot wide catamaran vessel with twin 120-horsepower engines, and a draft ranging from 18 inches forward to 24 inches aft. The R/V Nancy Anne is equipped with a 14-foot-high hydraulically operated A-frame with boom, a variable speed winch (3,000 pound capacity, 1 to 3 ft/sec), and 270 square feet of deck space. The vessel is also equipped with a pilot house, freshwater and seawater pumps, differential global positioning system (DGPS), and a depth sounder.

When possible (water levels are adequate), sampling was conducted off of the *Nancy Anne*. However, when water levels did not permit maneuvering of the *Nancy Anne* within the intertidal area, sampling was conducted off of the pontoon boat.

When possible, sampling coordinates were recorded using a DGPS unit with an accuracy of 3-feet or less, when signals were available. Otherwise, sampling coordinates were recorded using a hand held GPS unit and were documented on the site map in relation to land based structures and bathymetry. At the time of sampling, the geodetic horizontal position (i.e., latitude and longitude) of each sample location was documented to the nearest 0.01 seconds in NAD83, Washington State North Zone Datum. Mudline elevation was recorded at each sample location. Mudline elevations were determined by lead line measurements, local tide gages, and published

tide tables and referenced to the MLLW datum. Calculated mudline elevations at the sampling locations were rounded to the nearest 1.0-foot.

Surface sediment samples from the 0 to 10-cm biologically active zone were collected for chemical and physical testing using a van Veen grab sampler in accordance with Puget Sound Estuary Program (PSEP) protocols (PSEP 1997a). Samples were collected in the following manner in accordance with the PSEP protocols:

- Vessel was mancuvered to the proposed location;
- Jaw assembly was decontaminated;
- Jaw assembly was deployed:
- The cable to the jaw assembly was drawn in taut and perpendicular;
- Location of the cable hoist was measured and recorded by the location control personnel;
- The jaw assembly was drawn shut to collect the sediment sample to a penetration depth of approximately 16-cm for a 0 to 10-cm grab;
- The sediment sample was retrieved aboard the vessel and evaluated against the following PSEP acceptability criteria:
  - · van Veen sampler is not overfilled (i.e., sediment surface against top of sampler);
  - · Sediment surface is relatively flat, indicating minimal disturbance or winnowing;
  - Overlying water is present, indicating minimal leakage;
  - · Overlying water has low turbidity, indicating minimal sample disturbance, and;
  - · Desired penetration depth is achieved.
- Overlying water was siphoned off and a stainless steel trowel or similar device was used to
  collect only the desired sediment fraction from inside the van Veen sampler, taking care not
  to collect sediment in contact with the sides/surface of the sampler;
- The desired sediment fraction from the inside of the van Veen sampler was placed in a high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bucket. When sufficient sample volume was collected into the HDPE bucket, the sediment was homogenized using stainless steel spatulas or a variable speed drill fitted with a stainless steel paddle; and
- Homogenized sediment was placed immediately into appropriate pre-labeled sample containers and placed on ice for transport to the analytical laboratory.

To prevent sample contamination, all sampling equipment in contact with the sediment samples was decontaminated prior to and between collection activities. Decontamination procedures included rinsing with site water and washing with a scrub brush until free of sediment, wash with phosphate-free detergent, and triple-rinses with distilled water.

## 3.1.2 Subsurface Sediment Sample Collection

Sediment boring samples were collected from three selected stations using a vibracorer. The vibracorer unit consists of two contra-rotating electric motors encased in an aluminum housing. An electric generator on the vessel via a submersible tether cable powers the vibracorer. When energized, the motors produce a high-frequency vibration capable of penetrating most unconsolidated strata.

The vibracorer was deployed from the pontoon boat using the I-beam spud as a guide assembly for the core driving vibration head. A 3.75-in. inside diameter decontaminated aluminum pipe was cut to the appropriate length based on the sampling depth at each location and clamped to the vibracorer. The vibracorer was deployed to the bottom, where the unit was energized and lowered to the appropriate depth. When that depth was reached, the vibracorer was turned off and returned to the surface for sample processing. During the coring operation, the penetration of the core pipe was continuously monitored. The core was then capped and sent to the compositing site (REG laboratory located in Seattle, Washington).

The following procedure was used to decontaminate sample tubes prior to use:

- Rinse and pre-clean with potable water
- Wash and scrub the tubes in a solution of laboratory grade non-phosphate based soap and potable water
- Rinse with potable water
- Rinse three times with distilled water
- Seal both ends of each core tube with aluminum foil

The core tube caps were removed immediately prior to placement into the coring device. Care was taken during sampling to avoid contact of the sample tube with potentially contaminated surfaces. Extra sample tubes were available during sample operations for uninterrupted sampling in the event of a potential core tube breakage or contamination. Core tubes suspected to have been accidentally contaminated were not used. Logs and field notes of all core samples were maintained as samples were collected and correlated to the sampling location map. The following information was included in this log:

- Elevation of each boring station sampled as measured from NOS MLLW. This was
  accomplished using a fathometer or lead line to determine the depth of water at the time of
  sampling. The elevation was converted to NOS MLLW using tide data obtained from the
  Commencement Bay NOAA gaging station.
- 2. Location of each boring station as determined by DGPS.
- 3. Date and time of collection of each sediment core sample.
- 4. Names of field supervisor and person(s) collecting and logging the sample.
- 5. Observations made during sample collection included weather conditions, complications, ship traffic, and other details associated with the sampling effort.
- 6. The sample station number as derived from Figure 1.
- 7. Length and depth intervals of each core section and recovery for each sediment sample as measured from NOS MLLW.

- 8. Qualitative notation of apparent resistance of sediment column to coring.
- 9. Any deviation from the sampling plan.

During deployment and retrieval of the coring device, care was taken to ensure that the end of the core tube did not become contaminated. When retrieved, each core was inspected and a physical description of the material at the mouth of the core was entered into the core log.

Core tubes longer than 4 feet were cut in half to facilitate upright storage. The cut tubes were individually labeled. Core orientation was also marked on each tube. Labels identifying the core section were securely attached to the outside of the casing and wrapped with transparent tape to prevent loss or damage of the label. The core sections were stored upright in iced containers for transport to the core processing facility.

At the core processing facility, the cores were cut open and a sediment description of each core sample was recorded on the core log. Sample intervals were selected from the cores collected based on physical observations such as presence of oil, woody debris, and/or odor. After documenting the core, the sediment from each interval was placed in a stainless steel bowl or HDPE bucket, and was homogenized using a stainless steel spoon or variable speed drill fitted with a stainless steel paddle. Homogenized sediment was then placed into the appropriate sample jars as indicated in Table 1 of the SAP (Anchor and Port 2000).

#### 3.2 SAMPLE HANDLING

The analytical lab provided certified, pre-cleaned, EPA-approved containers for all samples. Prior to shipping, the analytical laboratory added preservative, where required, according to PSEP protocols.

All containerized sediment samples were transported to the analytical laboratory after preparation was completed. Specific sample shipping procedures were as follows:

- 1. Individual sample containers were placed in a sealable plastic bag, packed to prevent breakage and transported in a sealed ice chest or other suitable container.
- 2. The shipping containers were clearly labeled with sufficient information (name of project, time and date container was sealed, person sealing the container and consultant's office name and address) to enable positive identification.
- 3. Glass jars were separated in the shipping container by shock absorbent material (e.g., bubble wrap) to prevent breakage.
- 4. A sufficient amount of ice was double-bagged in sealable plastic bags and placed within the cooler.
- 5. A sealed envelope containing chain-of-custody forms was enclosed in a plastic bag and taped to the inside lid of the cooler.
- 6. Signed and dated chain-of-custody seals will be placed on all coolers prior to shipping.
- 7. Samples were delivered to the analytical laboratory within 24 hours of collection.

The persons transferring custody of the sample container signed the chain-of-custody form upon transfer of sample possession to the analytical laboratory. The shipping container seal was

broken upon receipt of samples at the laboratory and the receiver recorded the condition of the samples. Chain-of-custody forms were used internally by the lab to track sample handling and final disposition.

All samples were maintained according to the appropriate holding times and temperatures for each analysis as represented in Table 1 of the SAP (Anchor and Port 2000).

### 3.3 FIELD QUALITY ASSURANCE SAMPLES

Field quality assurance (QA) samples were collected to assess potential problems as a result of sample collection and/or processing in the field. One field homogenization duplicate and one field equipment rinsate blank were submitted as discrete blind samples to the laboratory for analysis. The field homogenization duplicate was analyzed for all parameters, whereas the rinsate blank was analyzed for metals and organic compounds only. The field QA sample results corroborated the accuracy and precision of chemical determinations performed during this investigation.

## 3.3.1 Field Homogenization Duplicate Sample

One field homogenization duplicate sample was collected at Station AN-6 and submitted to the laboratory as a discrete blind sample. The field homogenization duplicate consists of a split from a homogenized sample. The purpose of obtaining and analyzing the field homogenization duplicate was to assess the sample handling and field homogenization techniques. In general, field replicate results are considered acceptable if they are within an order of magnitude of one another. Relative percent difference values for the field duplicate ranged from 0 to 40 percent, with the exception of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), indicating that the sediment handling and homogenization techniques did not impact the quality of analytical results obtained for this investigation. The relative percent differences for the TPHs was elevated due to the relatively small amount present in the sample.

## 3.3.2 Field Blank Sample

One equipment rinsate blank was submitted to the laboratory as a discrete blind sample. The rinsate blank was collected immediately following equipment decontamination prior to initiating collection of surface sediments. The rinsate blank was prepared by pouring distilled water over the decontaminated sampling and compositing equipment into a pre-preserved sample jar. The purpose of the equipment rinsate blank was to assess the degree to which a parameter of interest was added or removed during field operations such as equipment decontamination. No compounds or analytes were detected in the equipment rinsate blank (See Table 2).

## 3.4 DEVIATIONS FROM THE SAMPLING PLAN

The field equipment rinsate blank was collected immediately prior to surface sediment sample collection rather than during the sample collection activities. However, since all sediment concentrations were consistently low (Table 4), potential sample cross contamination is considered unlikely.

## 4 CHEMICAL/PHYSICAL ANALYSES

Surface sediment samples were analyzed for selected analytes/compounds, including all State Sediment Management Standards (SMS; Chapter 173-204 WAC) parameters and selected conventionals (grain size, total solids, TOC, and TPH). Selected subsurface sediment samples were submitted for chemical testing based on physical observations (e.g., presence of woody debris). The chemistry data are provided in Table 4.

#### 4.1 METHODS

All sediment samples were analyzed in accordance with the methods outlined in Table 3.

#### 4.2 DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT

The overall data quality objectives for collection and chemical testing of sediment samples were met, as set forth in the SAP, with the exception of all antimony results. Matrix interferences were associated with the antimony analyses and due to the low recoveries of the matrix spikes all antimony results were rejected. All other data for this project are considered acceptable for use as qualified. Target detection limit goals were not met for 2,4 dimethylphonol and benzyl alcohol due to matrix interferences. The data validation report is presented in Appendix B of this report.

Validated chemical data are presented in Table 4.

## 5 REFERENCES

Anchor and Port. 2000. Sampling and Analysis Plan: Mudflat Area Focused Site Characterization, Hylebos Waterway, Tacoma, Washington. July 11. 2000

Hylebos Cleanup Committee, 1999. Pre-Remedial Design Evaluation Report, Hylebos Waterway Pre-Remedial Design Program, Commencement Bay Nearshore / Tideflats Superfund Site. Report prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. November 1999.

PSEP. 1986 as updated in 1989, 1991, 1995, and 1997. Recommended protocols for measuring selected environmental variables in Puget Sound. Prepared for the Puget Sound Estuary Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Puget Sound, Seattle, WA.

Table 1. Surface and Subsurface Locations and Depths

Ï	Sample		Coordinates	Mudline
Station	Туре	Latitude	Longitude	MLLW (ft)
1	Surface	47deg 16.6624 N	122deg 23.5138 W	1.5
2	Surface	47deg 16.7321 N	122deg 23.4575 W	5.5
3	Surface	47deg 16.6600 N	122deg 23.4332 W	0.5
4	Surface	47deg 16.7196 N	122deg 23.4339 W	5.4
4	Subsurface	47deg 16.7177 N	122deg 23.4297 W	5.3
5	Surface	47deg 16.6240 N	122deg 23.3423 W	3.7
6	Surface	47deg 16.679 N	122deg 23.286 W	7.1
7	Surface	47deg 16.6156 N	122deg 23.2660 W	3.0
7	Subsurface	47deg 16.6078 N	122deg 23.254 W	3.9
8	Surface	47deg 16.713 N	122deg 23.330 W	
8	Subsurface	47deg 16.7111 N	122deg 23.3295 W	5.5

Table 2. Field Quality Assurance Sample Results.

			·			
C ;al	AN- (0-0		AN-60 (0-0.	•	Percent Difference	Equipment Rinsate Blank
Conventional Parameters	<del></del>	<u> </u>	+	T -	Difference	(ug/L)
Total solids (%)	35.	<del>,</del>	34.	7	<del>                                     </del>	
Gravel (%)	11.		10.		1.4	
Sand (%)	11.		11.3		4.6	
Silt (%)	55.		56.4		2.3	
Clay	22.		21.		1.4	
Total organic carbon (%)		7 E		3 E	21.7	
otal Petroleum Hydrocarbons	<del>                                     </del>	<del>'                                     </del>	7.0	<del>1</del>	21.7	
Gas Range	3	οlu	20	olu -	40.0	
Diesel Range			130		40.0	
Oil Range			210		88.9 71.0	
letals (mg/kg)	+	+	1	1=-	71.0	
Antimony	10	DR.	10	R	- 00	0.05.11
Arsenic	40.0		40		0.0	0.05 U
Cadmium	0.70		0.60			0.05 U
Chromium	37		39		15.4	0.002 U
Copper	86				5.3	0.005 U
Lead	89		85 90		0.2	0.002 U
Mercury	0.30		0.30		1.1	0.02 U
Nickel	27		29		0.0	0.0001 U
Silver	0.90		0.80		7.1	0.01 U
Zinc	232				11.8	0.003 U
ry rs (ug/kg)	232		236		1.7	0.006 U
lene	52	-	43		40.0	
Aد بhthylene	40		34		18.9	1.0 U
Acenaphthene		М	19		16.2	1.0 U
Fluorene	35	IVI -	28	-	14.6 22.2	1.0 U
Phenanthrene	280	<del> </del>	200		33.3	1.0 U
Anthracene	100		78	<del>  </del>	24.7	1.0 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	24		20	$\vdash$	18.2	1.0 U
Fluoranthene	660	-	660			1.0 U
Pyrene	960	<del> </del>	800		0.0	1.0 U
Benz(a)anthracene	250		210		18.2 17.4	1.0 U
Chrysene	590		530	-	10.7	1.0 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	670		640		4.6	1.0 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	400	<del> </del>	370		7.8	1.0 U
Benzofluoranthenes (b+k)	1,070	<del> </del>	1,010		5.8	1.0 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	260		220		16.7	1.0 U
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	120		100		18.2	1.0 U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	35		29	М	18.2	1.0 U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	110	101	93	IVI		1.0 U
lorinated Hydrocarbons (ug/kg	110		33		16.7	1.0 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2.3	11	2.5	11	0.0	4011
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2.3		2.5		8.3	1.0 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2.3				8.3	1.0 U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2.3 12		2.5 13		8.3	1.0 U
Hernichlorobenzene (HCB)	3	<u> </u>		<del>-  </del>	8.0	5.0 U
(ug/kg)	3		NA		NA	1.0 U
Di, phthalate	20	11	19			4 0111
Diethyl phthalate	20		19		5.1	1.0 U
y- princes	20	U	19	<u>- 1</u>	5.1	1.0 U

Chemical	AN- (0-0.		AN-60 (0-0		Percent Difference	Equipment Rinsate Blank (ug/L)
Pi-n-butyl phthalate	2	0 U	2	3 M	14.0	1.0 U
enzyl phthalate	22	0	23	0	4.4	1.0 U
E thylhexyl) phthalate	32		33	0	3.1	1.0 U
Di-n-octyl phthalate	5	4	3	6 M	40.0	1.0 U
henols (ug/kg)						
Phenol	39	Ðυ	3	9 U	0.0	2.0 U
2-Methylphenol	39	U	3	9 U	0.0	2.0 U
4-Methylphenol	20	υ	1:	9 U	5.1	1.0 U
2,4-Dimethylphenol	59	U	5	3 U	1.7	3.0 U
Pentachlorophenol	99	U	9	7 U	2.0	5.0 U
iscellaneous Extractables (ug/k	g)			1		
Benzyl alcohol	99	U	97	7 U	2.0	5.0 U
Benzoic acid	200	U	190	υ	5.1	10.0 U
Dibenzofuran	27	M	23	М	16.0	1.0 U
Hexachloroethane	39	U	39	U	0.0	2.0 U
Hexachlorobutadiene	1	U	N/		N/A	2.0 U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	20	U	19	U	5.1	2.0 U
olatile Organics (ug/kg)						2.0 0
Trichloroethene	2.3	lυ	2.5	U	8.3	1.0 U
Tetrachloroethene	2.3		2.5		8.3	1.0 U
Ethylbenzene	2.3	U	2.5		8.3	1.0 U
m,p-Xylene	2.3	ΙŪ	2.5		8.3	1.0 U
o-Xylene	2.3	U	2.5		8.3	1.0 U
Total Xylene (sum of o-, m-, p-)	·			<del>                                     </del>		1.0 U
sticides (ug/kg)						1.0 0
	6.4		6.2		3.2	0.10 U
	2.9	Υ	3.0		3.4	0.10 U
DD.	2.0	U	1.9	U	5.1	0.10 U
Aldrin	0.99	U	0.94		5.2	0.05 U
alpha-Chlordane	1.6		1.5		6.5	0.05 U
gamma-Chlordane	1.1	Υ	0.95	Y	14.6	0.05 U
Dieldrin	2.1	Y	2.0	Y	4.9	0.10 U
Heptachlor	0.99	U	0.94	U	5.2	0.05 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.99	Ū	0.94		5.2	0.05 U
Bs (ug/kg)						0.00 0
Aroclor 1016	20	U	19	U	5.1	1.0 U
Aroclor 1242	43	Υ	38		12.3	1.0 U
Aroclor 1248	20	U	19		5.1	1.0 U
Aroclor 1254	96		89		7.6	1.0 U
Aroclor 1260	59		60		1.7	1.0 U
Aroclor 1221	39	Ū	37	<del>u l</del>	5.3	2.0 U
Aroclor 1232	20		19		5.1	1.0 U
Total PCBs (ug/kg)	155		149		3.9	2.0 U
Total PCBs (mg/kg-oc)						

Table 3. Chemical/Physical Analysis Methods and Target Detection Limits

Parameter	Target Detection Limits	Sample Preparation Method	Sample Cleanup Method	Mathad
diments and Native Mate	erial		Heulou	Method
iventionals	11101			A
Percent solids in %	NA.		7	
Total petroleum hydrocarbons	<del>                                     </del>			EPA 160.3
Grain size in %	25 mg/kg 0.10%	FPA 3550		NWTPH-HCI
Total organic carbon in %	0.015%			PSEP Plumb (1981
Metals	1	<u> </u>		or PSEP
Arsenic				
Cadmium	10 mg/kg dry	EPA 3050		EPA 6010
Chromium	0.40 mg/kg dry	EPA 3050		EPA 6010
Copper	1.0 mg/kg dry	EPA 3050		EPA 6010
Lead	0.40 mg/kg dry	EPA 3050		EPA 6010
	5.0 mg/kg dry	EPA 3050		EPA 6010
Mercury	0.10 mg/kg dry	b		EPA 7471
Silver	0.60 mg/kg dry	EPA 3050		EPA 6010
Zinc	0.80 mg/kg dry	EPA 3050		EPA 6010
Low Molecular Weight Polynuc	ear Aromatic Hy	drocarbons (LPA)	is)	EFA 0010
Naphthalene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3540	EPA 8270
Acenaphthylene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Acenaphthene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	
Fluorene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Phenanthrene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545		EPA 8270
Anthracene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
2-Methylnaphthaiene	20 ug/kg		EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Total LPAHs	20 ag/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
ligh Molecular Weight Polynuc	and American	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Fluoranthene	ear Aromatic Hy			
Pyrene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Benzo(a)anthracene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Chrysene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Total benzofluoranthenes	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Benzo(a)pyrene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
otal HPAHs		EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 82/0
alates				··
imethyl phthalate	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Diethyl phthalate	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Di-n-Butyl phthalate	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Butyl benzyl phthalate	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Di-n-Octyl phthalate	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
lychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs	)			CI A 32/0
Total PCBs	8 ug/kg	EPA 3545	PA 3640 and EPA	EPA 8081
latile Organics		<u></u>	3665	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5 ug/kg	EPA 5035		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5 ug/kg			EPA 8260
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10 ug/kg	EPA 5035		EPA 8260
scellaneous Semivolatile Organ	nics	EPA 5035		EPA 8260
Hexachlorobenzene		EDA 3545		
Dibenzofuran	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Hexachlorobutadiene	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
N-Nitroso-diphenylamine	30 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
scellaneous Extractables				
Phenol	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
2-Methylphenol	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
4-Methylphenol	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
2,4-Dimethylphenol	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Pentachlorophenol	50 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270
Benzyi alcohol	20 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	
Benzoic acid	200 ug/kg	EPA 3545	EPA 3640	EPA 8270

Notes:

(a) The sample digestion method for mercury is described in the analytical method.

$\subset$	٠. ر
and Subsurface Chemistry Data - Dry Weight Measurements	
	-
Table 4.	

s €		AN-4-S (0-0.3 ft)		AN-4A-C (1) (.8-2.8 ft)	AN-4C-C (1) (3.8-4.8 ft)	AN-5-8 (0-0.3 ft)	AN-6-S (0-0.3 ft)	AN6dup-S (c-0.3 ft)	AN-7-S (0-0.3 ft)	AN-8-S (0-0.3 ft)	AN-8A-C (1) (0.8-1.8 ft)	Equipment Rinsste Blank (ug/L)
57.5		63.5	54.2	78.8	78.1	6.09	35.2	34.7	1 74	54 5	643	
10.1	-	4.3	3.3			0.70	11.2	10.7	20.4	11.6	04.3	
34.5		15.6	48.8			69.0	11.7	11.2	16.9	27.75		
14.1	Ц	5.0	18.1			10.0	22.0	21.7	24.2	22.1		
+	_	33.7	7.1 E	1.6	0.73	3.6 E	3.7 E	4.6 E	3.4 €	3.5 E	0.52	
20 U 20 U	Ц	_0Z	20 U			201	30 0	2011	26.11	300		
20 0	$\perp$	200	140	14	6.5 U	J 05	50 U	30 E	2 28	009	7,611	
$\downarrow$	$\perp$	3	007	24	13 0	100	100	210 E	100 U	190	15	
H	Ц	7.0 ₹	9.0 R	6.0 U	6.0 U	8.0 R	10 R	900	9	0		
23.0		10	24	6.0 U	6.0 U	16	40.0	104	200	9.0 K	8.00	0.05 U
		0.30	0.70	0.20 U	0.30 U	0.30 U	0.70	090	0.50 U	030	T	0.00
27		17	29	14	16	17	37	39	18		19 0	0.000
40.04		17	33	2 5	1,	20	98	85	36	34	T	1 2000
	٥	1 000	0.40	5.0	3.0	28	88	06	16	48	5.0	1 200
-		13 5	22	1000	0.00	0.070	0.30	030	0.080	0.070 U	n	0.0001 U
	0	0.40 U	0.50 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	100	17000	62	14	19		0.01 U
143		55	137	27	24	83	232	0000	0.70	0.50	0.50 U	0.003 U
								2	ř	12,	32	0.005 U
-	1,132		3,464	489	20 U	4,763	4,608	4.055	640	1 440		
	1 2		450	217	20 U	642	529 M	383	32	103	191	
20 49	7	101	2/2	33	20 U	32	52	43	19 U	19 U	190	100
31		2 2	₹ 2	100	0 07	8 2	40	×	19 U	19 U	19 U	1.0 0.1
32		19 (1	8	22	200	34	22 M	19 0	19 0	19 U	19 U	1.0 U
170	9	0	180	88	20 C	400	280	8 00	0 60	19 0	19 0	1.0 U
	2	6		20 U	20 U	120	100	82	191	25	19.0	7.0 C
20	,	190	₽ ;	23	20 U	19 U	24	R <sub>2</sub>	190	1161	100	0 :
2,704 M 1,0	-	1018	3,014 M	272	20 C	4,121 M	4,055 M	3,652 M	608 M	1,346	19 0	0 2
650		210	808	2 9	30.00	000	099	096	91	230	19 U	10°
150		62	180	24	2 2 2 2	3200	096	000	120	300	19 U	1,0 U
340		150	400	28	20 U	260	290	200	43	200	19 0	1.0 U
400	-	88	300	20 U	20 C	200	670	079	86	230	1300	1.0 C
200	ı	3 8	430	) (2) (3)	20 0	200	400	370	77	140	19 0	
		3 58	2005	2 2 2	20.00	1,000	1,070	1,010	175	370	19 U	1.0 U
91		31	F	2 2 2	2 2 2 2	300	700	077	48	98	19 U	1.0 U
		) [6]	21 M	2000	2 2	37.0	120	3 8	21 M	9	19 U	1.0 U
92		8	62	200	200	3/ W	30 M	N 83 83	1910	19 U	19 U	1.0 U
					1	*	OT C	3	) 6 2	65	19 U	1.0 U
		2.2 U	1.610	<u> </u>	+	1511	11126	+ 1116	  -   	-		
		2.2 U	1.6 U	  -		2 2 2	2311	25.00	2.30	1.8 U		1.0 U
1.5 U		2.2 U	1.6 U			1511	2311	25.00	2.30	1.810		1.0 U
7.6 U	H	110	7.8 U			7.6 U	120	136	4.310	0.80		1.0 U
2		+	8	1 0	1 U	20	3	ź	100	110	+	2000
3011		=======================================	100	100							+	2
		,,,	ا ماد	2 2 2	4010	UBL	2010	19 U	19 0	19 U	19 U	1.0 U

												(	
Chamica	AN-1-S	AN-2-S	AN-3:S	AN-4-S	AN-4A-C	44C-C (11)	AN-5-S	AN-6-S	AN-6dup-S	S-Z-NA	AN-8-R		Equipment
Oichid abtaclata	(11.0-0)	(0-0.3 lt)	(0-0.3n)	(0-0.3 ft)	(1.8-2.8 ft)	(3.8-4.8 ft)	(0-0.3 fl)	(0-0.3 ft)	(0-0.3 ft)	(0-0.3 ft)	(0-0-3 ft)	(08-18#)	Kinsate blank
Die butdahthote	2002	02 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	19 0	19 U	20 C	20 U	19 U	2010	1910	10111	1101	(11.07)	(Jiller)
Butyl beazyl phtholoto	2002	66	19 U	19 U	20 C	29	19 U	20 0	23 M	100	2 0	19 0	0 0.
Dict other punisher	200	120	19 0	8	20 U	20 0	41	220		23 6	200	0 0	0 0
Discretty https://	8 8	8,900	140	230	20 C	20 0	210	320	330	61	001	0 2	0.0
Property prinsiale	200	5,200	19 0	24 M	20 U	20 U	21 M	54	36 M	20 14	000	0 61	.0 U
Chorol (uging)										E 07	210	0 81	TO O.
2 Mothydahana	40 0	39 0	160	39 0	20 U	20 U	22	39 U	30	77	33		
z-Iwemylphenol	004	39 0	38 ∩	39 U	U 02	20 U	39 ==	3011	2000	‡   8	8	19 0	2.0 U
4-Methylphenol	20 U	96	58 M	120	20 U	2010	25 M	2 6	38.0	D :	39 0	19 U	2.0 U
2,4-Dimeth/lphenol	∩ 09	29 U	92 N	58 U	20 C	20 0	282	0 1	0 :	24 M	19 0	19 U	1.0 U
Pentachlorophenol	100 U	U 86	95 U	97 U	1 86	192	200	8 8	2 2	28 U	58 U	19 U	30 U
Miscellaneous Extractables (ug/kg)						,	ì	2000	9/ 0	97 U	∩ 96	95 U	50 U
Benzył alcchol	100 U	0 86	95 U	97 U	2011	2011	0.7	100					
Benzoic acid	200 U	200 U	190 U		2002	1 000	3 200	0 ::	97.0	97 U	0 96 0	19 U	50 U
Dibenzofuran	20 U	23	19 U	300	2002	0 12	2 .	7007	<u>6</u>	190 U	190 U	190 U	100 U
Hexachloroethane	40 U	39 U	38	1 68	202	2000	2 8 6	Z7 M	23 M	19 U	19 U	19 U	10 01
Hexachlorobutadiene	10	10	-	=		207	20.28	O SE	39 0	39 0	39 (1	19 U	20 11
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	20 U	20 U	161		- 100	- 2			≸	10	10	2	20 11
Volatile Organics (ug/kg)						20.02	D SI	20 0	19 U	19 U	19 0	19 U	20 11
Trichloroethene	1.2 U	1.5 U	22	1811		1							
Tetrachloroethene		1511	2211	2 4			1.50		2.5 U	2.3 U	1.8 U		100
Ethylbenzene	1211	151	2.2	0.9			1.5 U	2.3 U	2.5 U	2.3 U	1.8 U		
m.p-Xvlene	120	2 4	2.4 0	0 0			1.5 U	2.3 U	2.5 U	2.3 U	181		
o-Xvlene	121	5 4	2.2 0	0:			1.5 U	2.3 U	2.5 U	2.3 U	181		
Total Xviene (sum of o. m. p.)	2	0.5	2.2 0	1.6 U			1.5 U	2.3 U	2.5 U	2.3 U	2 2 2		0.5
Destriction (1980)													
resucioes (uging)	-										+		1.0 C
DOG		4.7	1.9	5.4	1.8 U	1.9 U	3.9	6.4	62	100			
000	0 ::	3.4	1.9 U	4.6 ∀	1.8 U	1.9 U	2.1 Y	79.5	> 0:	5			0.10 U
100	1.9 U	2.0 U	1.9 0	2.2 Y	1.8 U	1.910	1.811	2011		0 ::	1.80	1.9 U	0.10 U
Aidrin	0.93 U	0.98 UE	0.94 0	0.97 U	0.91	3.93 U	0.91	2000	0 1	0 : 0	1.8 U	1.9 U	0.10 U
aipha-Chiordane	0.93 U	1.3	0.94 0	2.1	0.91 U	J.93 U	0.91 U	18		2 : 0		0.95 U	0.05 U
gamma-Chlordane	0.93 U	0.98 U	0.94 U	1.2 Y	C.91 U	).93 U	0.91 U	<u> </u>	> 2	0.34	3.3 V	0.95 U	0.05 U
Dedi	1.910	2.0 0	1.9 U	2.2 Y	1.8 U	1.9 U	1.8	217	>00	100	+	0.95 U	0.05 U
Heptachior	0.93 U	0.98 U	0.94 U	0.97 U	0.91 U	0.93 U		1 00 0	- 12	0 :	- - -	1.9 U	0.1C U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.93 U	0.98 U	0.94 U	U 26:0	0.91 U	0.9310	12 V	11000		0:00	1.0 7	0.95 U	O.05. U
PCBs (ug/kg)						+		0.99	2	0.94 U	5	0.95 U	0.05 U
Aroclor 1016	19 U	20 U	19 L	19 0	1810	19 U	181	1100					
Aroclor 1242	19 0	31 ∀	21 Y	33 ∤	18 U	1910		200	2 2 2	n in	180	19 U	1.0 U
Arocior 1248	19 U	20 0	19 (	19 U	18 U	1011	-   2	2 2	× :	19 0	18 U	19 U	1.0 U
Aroclor 1254	19 U	89	35	77	1381	100		200	) D	19 0	18 U	20	1.0 U
Aroclor 1260	13 J	25	19.7	9	181	100	+	9 (	£	24	24	190	1.0 U
Aroclor 1221	37 U	39 U	38 U	70 68	2 92	1 2 2	97 1:	66	8	16 J	19	19 0	1.0 U
Aroclor 1232	19 U	20 U	19 U	19 U	18 0	1910	37 0	2000	37.0	38 U	37 U	38 U	2.0 U
Total PCBs	13 J	122	54.5	138	38	37 11	200	007	O P	19 U	18 U	19 U	1.0 U
			1	-	777	2110	94	155	149	40	43	20	

Note: 1. Basemap provided by the Port of Tacoma.

2. All vertical elevations are related to MLLW (NOS).
3. Elevations/contours interpolated from Port base map contours derived from aerial photogrammetric mapping.

97-014-01 ANCHOR OXYON-02

SOUND REFINING MUDFLAT AREA SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATIONS